SmartNets

An Underwater Behavior Recognition System for Marine Life

By: Favour Nerrise University of Maryland, College Park

> Graduate Advisor: Seckin Demir Faculty Advisors: Professor Sule Ozev, Professor Jennifer Blain Christen







REU project sponsored by NSF Award 1659871

Background

- → Bycatch, unintended capture of marine species, is a prominent issue that affects sea animals like sea turtles and damages the habitat.
- → Smart Nets is an object recognition system that detects sea turtles and uses LED illumination levels as stimuli to warn turtles of potential danger.
- → Experiments showed that the proposed approach provides up to 92.7% energy savings







Fig. 2: Energy saving percentage compared to base frame rate and LED lighting under different environments

Problem & Objective

- → How can we automate marine life behavior analysis to better optimize warning stimuli/sensory cues?
- → How do sea turtle orientations (angle and depth) affect response behavior to stimuli?

Methodology

- → Generated 270 clips of manually identified sea turtle behaviors
 - u-turn behavior (n=141)
 - reversal behavior (n=129)
- → Convert clipped videos to single image sequences (270 x 60fps)
- → Created ground truth labels for observed sea turtle depth
- → Trained, validated, and tested pretrained CNN (YOLO v4) on Open Images v6 sea turtle dataset
- → Retrieved 2D bounding boxes coordinates from predictions
- → Converted 2D bbox coords into 3D bbox coords (bird's eye view)



Fig. 3: Model of object detection + bbox retrieval



Fig. 4: The geometric similarity in 2D/3D projection (Liu, 2019)

Results

Objection Detection Progress



Fig. 5: 2D bounding boxes for pre-trained model



Fig. 6: 2D bounding boxes for sea turtle detection

→ Sea Turtle Detection Accuracy: mAP@IoU50 = 85.67%

→ Additional results:

Metric	mAP@0.5	mAP[0.5,0.95]
Baseline YOLO v4	62.8	44.3
SeaTurtle-YOLO v4	85.67	43.11



Fig. 7 & 8: Evaluation metrics for sea turtle prediction Copyright © 2021 Arizona Board of Regents



- Open-source data limitations
 Acquisition & pre-processing
- → Computing Difficulties
 - Transfer learning needed
 - Long training computing times and cost
- → 3D Bounding Box Estimation
 - Lacking camera calibrations
 - Time-consuming manual ground truth sensor locations
- → Benchmarking
 - No similar model available for marine life behavior analysis





- → Developed automated sea turtle depth estimation behavior model
- → Sea turtle object detection accuracy surpasses YOLO v4 standard benchmark @mAP50 = 85.64%
- → Performed mathematical 2D Bounding Box => 3D Bounding Box coordinate conversion
- → Training requires high computing speed and memory
- → Limited accessible and current open-source data for sea turtles



[1] A. Mauri *et al.*, "Deep Learning for Real-Time 3D Multi-Object Detection, Localisation, and Tracking: Application to Smart Mobility," *Sensors (Basel)*, vol. 20, no. 2, Jan. 2020, doi: <u>10.3390/s20020532</u>.

[2] H. S. Demir, J. B. Christen, and S. Ozev, "Energy-Efficient Image Recognition System for Marine Life," *IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems*, vol. 39, no. 11, pp. 3458–3466, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.1109/TCAD.2020.3012745.

[3] J. Redmon and A. Farhadi, YOLOv3: An Incremental Improvement. 2018.

[4] M. Takahashi, A. Moro, Y. Ji, and K. Umeda, "Expandable YOLO: 3D Object Detection from RGB-D Images," *arXiv:2006.14837* [cs], Jun. 2020, Accessed: Jul. 02, 2021. [Online]. Available: <u>http://arxiv.org/abs/2006.14837</u>

[5] Z. Jiang, L. Zhao, S. Li, and Y. Jia, "Real-time object detection method based on improved YOLOv4-tiny," *arXiv:2011.04244* [cs], Dec. 2020, Accessed: Jun. 25, 2021. [Online]. Available: <u>http://arxiv.org/abs/2011.04244</u>

[6] A. Mauri *et al.*, "Deep Learning for Real-Time 3D Multi-Object Detection, Localisation, and Tracking: Application to Smart Mobility," *Sensors (Basel)*, vol. 20, no. 2, Jan. 2020, doi: <u>10.3390/s20020532</u>.

Thank You!

Questions?



